From:	McGovern, Marc
To:	William Ruhm
Subject:	RE: Draft
Date:	Tuesday, April 24, 2018 1:32:00 PM

Hi Will:

1. The members of the group will still be able to give testimony, but I think we would need to coordinate how that would work. If you still plan on having 40 people (I think that was the number) come up and talk about HP and Israel, then that might be problematic.
2. The task force would be appointed by the City Manager. By charter he has that authority. He would, as always, look for a diverse group of people to take part. He would likely want my guidance.
3. No formal timeline, although he doesn't like to have these things drag.

From: William Ruhm [mailto:wruhm123@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2018 7:24 AM
To: McGovern, Marc <mmcGovern@cambridgema.gov>
Subject: Re: Draft

Marc,

I brought this policy order you draft to our group last night, and there is a good deal of interest in going forward with among different group members, but also quite a few questions members of our group had about the policy order to get more clarity on before making a decision on getting behind it. I recognize you may not have answers to all these questions, but if you can shed light on any of them it would be very much appreciated:

-Would members of our group still be able to give testimony in support of this policy order at a city council meeting?
-What would be the composition of this task force (community members, experts on purchasing procurement, proponents/opponents on different issues, etc), and how would members of the task force be chosen?
-Would there be a timeframe within which the task force would start meeting? Would there be a timeframe within which it would start making decisions?

If you would prefer to discuss these matters by phone than by email, you are welcome to call me at 336-455-2580.

Thank you,

William

2018-04-23 17:17 GMT-04:00 William Ruhm <wruhm123@gmail.com>:

> Thanks Marc, that information is helpful.
>
> It has not been my impression that you do not care about the issue, nor has it been my impression

that you are committed to the other side. I definitely hear and understand that putting forward a resolution likely to win is a better way to advance the causes our campaign cares about (as opposed putting forward one that will lose). I feel only that it is my obligation to make sure everyone in our campaign has the opportunity to weigh in about, debate, and ultimately vote on this possible course of action before I commit one way or the other to you.

For my part, it has also been a journey learning how to be in a leading role in a campaign on like this one at the city level - this campaign has been a learning process for me, and I hold myself responsible for mistakes I have made and hope to learn from them going forward.

William

2018-04-23 16:41 GMT-04:00 McGovern, Marc <mmcGovern@cambridgema.gov>:

> And I just like to say again that I know it looks like I don't care about this issue and I will admit that it has been a journey for me, however I'm trying to come up with the way that forwards your mission not something that will go down in defeat. So I am trying to work with you guys. If I didn't care about this issue or 100% committed to the other side I wouldn't be taking all this time. So I just wanted you to know that.
>
> Get Outlook for Android
>
> ---
>
> **From:** William Ruhm <wruhm123@gmail.com>
> **Sent:** Monday, April 23, 2018 3:48:32 PM
> **To:** McGovern, Marc
> **Subject:** Re: Draft
>
> Marc,
>
> As I mentioned yesterday, our group is meeting tonight to discuss the policy order you have drafted for us, and I will let you know what we decide promptly after that meeting tonight.
>
> If you don't mind, in the meantime, I have a couple clarifying questions about the policy order you drafted and how we could proceed with it that I'm hoping you might be willing to answer:
>
> 1. Would you be willing to be a co-sponsor on this policy order?
>
> 2. If you are willing to cosponsor this policy order, would you be willing to introduce it either this or next week (so it comes up on either April 30 or on May 7), or would you need to wait until a later date?
>
> Let me know what you think, and thank you again for drafting this order and for your patience with us.

William

2018-04-22 16:12 GMT-04:00 William Ruhm <wruhm123@gmail.com>:

> Marc,
>
> Thank you for taking the time to draft this order. I have shared it by email with the different people working on our campaign, and our group is meeting in person tomorrow evening and I want to give different people the chance to weigh in at that time as well. I will email you back Tuesday morning to let you know what we as a group decide and how we want to move forward.
>
> Yours,
>
> William
>
> 2018-04-22 15:47 GMT-04:00 McGovern, Marc <mmcGovern@cambridgema.gov>:
>
> > William,
> >
> > Here is the draft order I was working on. Again it's not going to be what you guys want, however this will pass and will move us in the direction that will eventually get us there. Let me know what you think.
> >
> > Get Outlook for Android
>
> --
> William Ruhm
> Cell #: 336-455-2580
> **Facebook.com/MassAgainstHP**
> **@MassAgainstHP**
>
> "Radical simply means grasping things at the root." -Angela Davis

--
William Ruhm
Cell #: 336-455-2580
Facebook.com/MassAgainstHP
@MassAgainstHP

"Radical simply means grasping things at the root." -Angela Davis

--

William Ruhm
Cell #: 336-455-2580
Facebook.com/MassAgainstHP
@MassAgainstHP

"Radical simply means grasping things at the root." -Angela Davis

--
William Ruhm
Cell #: 336-455-2580
Facebook.com/MassAgainstHP
@MassAgainstHP

"Radical simply means grasping things at the root." -Angela Davis